Toxicity and Cosmesis from RTOG 95-17: A Phase I/II Trial to Evaluate Brachytherapy RTOG as the Sole Method of Radiation Therapy for Stage I and II Breast Carcinoma RADIATION THERAPY ONCOLOGY GROUP Rachel Rabinovitch, Kathryn Wintera, Marie Taylora, Robert Kuske, John Boltons, Doug Arthurs, Julia Whiter, William Hansons, Ray Wilenzick and Beryl McCormick. 1University of Colorado Cancer Center, Aurora, CO, 2RTOG Statistical Center, Philadelphia, PA; 3Washington University, Saint Louis, MO, 4 Arizona Oncology Services, Scottsdale, AZ; 5 Ochsner Clinic, New Orleans, LA; s/Medical College of Virginia, Richmond, VA; Medical College of Wisconsin, Milwaukee, WI; s/MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX; s/Ochsner Clinic, New Orleans, LA, and 10/Memorial Sloan-Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY. RESULTS ABSTRACT OBJECTIVES Background: RTOG 95-17 is the only completed cooperative group trial Toxicities and Cosmesis - to evaluate the toxicity and cosmesis profile <u>Background</u>: KIOS 39-11 is the only completed cooperative group that evaluating multi-catheter brachytherapy (BTs) for early stage breast cancer. Cosmesis and toxicity outcomes are presented. <u>Materials/Methads</u>: Following lumpectomy and axiliary dissection, patients with invasive non-lobular breast cancer <3 cm, - margins, and <3 positive lymph nodes were treated with either high does rate (HDR) or low does rate (LDR) BTs. Okclines and Oximesis – to evaluate the toxicity and Cosmesis profile of PBI delivered with multi-catheter BTx in the cooperative group setting (presented below). The study was not designed to test for toxicity or cosmesis differences between the HDR and LDR Technical Feasibility and Reproducibility – to evaluate the feasibility Methods/Materials/Follow-up Table III. Other Toxicities During Follow-Up Table V. Cosmesis Rates by Evaluator and Length of Follow-up Enrolled - 100 pts enrolled from 1997-2007 LDR (n=33) HDR (n=66) Pretreatment characteristics were well balanced LDR (n=33) HDR (n=66) and reproducibility of multi-catheter breast BTx in the first cooperative between LDR and HDR treated natients via a multi-catheter implant - 45 Gy over 3.5-6 days or 34 Gy in 10 BID fractions group clinical trial investigating this approach (presented elsewhere). Ipsilateral Breast Tumor control – to evaluate the rate of Ipsilateral breast tumor control and compare to published rates for whole breast RT Pockmarks 32 (97%) 61 (92%) 1 yr 2 yr 3 yr 1 vr 2 yr 3 yr via a multi-cameter impiant - 45 Gy 0ver 3.5-5 days or 34 Gy in 10 BLD fraction respectively. 100 women were enrolled from 1997-2000, 99 were eligible; 66 were treated with HDR and 33 with LDR. Chemotherapy, if given, was deliver after BTx. Median follow up ((-up) is 7.5 years (0.9-9.2), F-up included cosme-ration and the second expectively but it statistic and disting analysis. Follow up - analysis updated in May 2007, with Cosmesi valuato Score % median follow up of 7.6 years (range .9-9.2 years). Any Fat Necrosis 7(21%) 18(27%) (presented elsewhere) 19 44 48 n 31 25 21 Distribution of Fat evaluation assessed separately by pt, treating radiation oncologist (RO) and Excellen 32 58 32 26 37 39 42 44 47 Necrosis by Grade* surgeon (S) at 6 months, 1 year, and then annually. The study was not designed Patient Good 28 45 30 to test for toxicity or cosmesis differences between HDR and LDR techniques. Grade 1 3 (9%) 7 (11%) ELIGIBILITY 6 28 21 11 6 20 to test for toxocity or cosmess differences between HDR and LDR techniques. **Results:** Grade 3 toxicity at any time during f-up was reported in 8%21% of HDRLDR pis, and consisted of breast infection (n=02 in HDRLDR), erythema (01), wound dehiscence (10), skin thickening (173), skin fibrosis (24), pain (20), and telangectasis (14). Fat necrosis developed in 27%21% of HDRLDR Fair Grade 2 2 (6%) 8 (12%) Stage - T1-2 (<3cm) and N0-1 (0-3 positive lymph nodes) following Table I. Status of Cases Poor 8 16 2 2 0 Grade 3 1 (3%) 3 (4%) 16 6 50 25 lumpectomy and axillary staging (dissection or sampling with >6 lympl n 27 22 39 40 28 Not Specified 1 (3%) 0 (0%) nodes identified; dissection was required if any positive lymph node I DR HDR Total 23 33 53 37 21 Excellen nts. No G3 skin ulceration, breast edema or tendemess was reported. Treatmen *Fat necrosis defined as G1-Assymptor Radiation Registered 34 66 100 Good 37 32 46 25 43 detected clinically or mammographically; G2-Mildly symptomatic (mild inflammation and tenderness +/effects as reported by RO and S at 2 years are listed in Table 1. Table 2 lists Histology – any non-lobular invasive breast cancer histology with negative surgical inked margins (no tumor at ink). Tumors with an Oncologist effects as reported by KN and S at 2 years are listed in 1 able 1. Table 2 usits reported excellent-good cosmess assessments at intervals following therapy by evaluator. At 2 years, poor cosmesis was reported for HDR/LDR as follows: Pt 2%%R, RC-0%/14%, and S-0%/10%. <u>Conclusion:</u> Toxicity of multi-catheter breast BTx in the cooperative group Fair 19 32 15 18 Ineligible 1 0 1 32 Poor 14 19 3 0 0 extensive intraductal component or lymph nodes with extracapsular Analyzahlo 33 66 99 skin erythema); G3-Moderate-severe inflammation and pain managed non-surgically except for needle extension were excluded. 22 10 6 33 29 38 18 16 With Toxicity Other – 6 clips marking the borders of the lumpectomy cavity were 33 66 99 aspiration Information Excellen 32 40 28 25 Other - o cup maximum and -required. Systemic Therapy - Tamoxifen during BTx was allowed. Chemotherapy could be administered no sooner than 2 weeks following setting is acceptable and similar to single institution series. Good-excellent Good 27 33 33 52 44 50 Surgeo 10 cosmesis is achieved in the majority of pts at 3 years. Pts tend to rate cosmesis most favorably, and surgeons, most critically. 25 Fair 27 30 10 22 Examples of Cosmesis/Toxicities Poor 10 Table II. Worst Reported Toxicity During STUDY DESIGN Follow-Up by Grade and Toxicity Type BACKGROUND CONCLUSIONS RECORD Whole Breast Irradiation – lumpectomy followed by whole breast radiation LDR (n=33) HDR (n=66) (RT) +/- a tumor bed boost is the standard local treatment for early stage Pt A (k1) +- a turnor bed boost is the standard local treatment for early stage breast cancer, established by numerous randomized trials. This approach results in high rates of turnor control at 20 years and good/excellent cosmesis rates (+80%). This approach, however, typically requires 5-5. Sweeks for the RT component of therapy. Partial Breast Irradiation (PBI) – data on in-breast failure following Toxicity Type Toxicity Grade PBI with multi-catheter BTx results in excellent-good cosmesis in For warmun-canteer BIX results in excenent-good cosmests in the majority of patients at 3 years following treatment These toxicity and cosmesis results, delivered in the cooperative group setting, are similar to single institution multi-catheter BTx coving Pt A: Example of "Good" cosmesis; surgery induced nipple inversion only. Pt B: Examples of pockmarks (P) and 1 2 3 1 2 3 Pt B Catheter Placement – 2 plane implant Verification of Histology and Eligibility Criteria Arm Edema 4 4 0 5 7 0 Breast Edema 7 4 0 21 7 0 Jumpectomy alone or lumpectomy followed by RT demonstrate that the overwhelming majority of recurrences (85-100%) are true local recurrences, Table IV. Comparison of 2-year Toxicities Surgeons tend to grade individual toxicities more critically than Breast 6 2 1 14 6 0 Surgeons tend to grade individual toxicities more critically than radiation oncologists; Patients tend to grade cosmesis more favorably than physicians Randomized clinical trial data will be required to accurately compare toxicity and cosmesis profiles of PBI to whole breast irradiation. RTOG 0413/NSABP B-39 is currently accruing patients Erythema as Reported by Radiation Oncologist (RO) i.e. immediately surrounding the originally resected tumor. This suggests that Breast Infection 0 0 2 0 2 0 and Surgeon (S) REGISTER the primary role of RT following lumpectomy is to eradicate tumor cells surrounding the lumpectomy bed and not in more remote areas of the breast. Approval of Treatment Plan through Rapid Review Process Breast Pain 10 2 0 15 8 2 Radiobiologic Implications of PBI - basic radiobiologic principles support Treatment (as previously identified by treating institution/nonrandomized): Breast Tenderness LDR HDR 13 3 0 28 5 0 the feasibility of treating smaller volumes of breast tissue with higher doses/fraction and fewer total fractions, while preserving tumor control and cosmesis rates of the treated tissue. PBI delivered with multi-catheter BTx toward this end. RO S RO S Skin Fibrosis 7 13 4 28 10 2 n=22 n=10 n=40 n=18 Arm 1 Arm 2 Skin Thickening 10 9 3 26 4 1 over 5-7 days was the first technique in single institution series supporting the 9% 10% 0 23% Atrophy LDR BTx HDR BTX tumor control efficacy and toxicity acceptability of this approach. Skin Ulceration 0 1 0 2 2 0 tumor control emcacy and toxicity acceptability or this approach. RTOG 95-17 - this phase UII clinical trial was the first cooperative group study investigating PBI in North America and the only one to date evaluating multi-catheter BTx. Long term toxicities and cosmessi rates have not previously been available in the literature for this technique in either single Dimpling 32% 50% 20% 56% REFERENCES 45 Gv 34 Gy -10 fractions BID Taylor ME. Perez CA. Halverson KJ. et al: Factors influencing cosmetic Telangectasias 7 3 4 20 10 1 3.5-6 days 5-7 days Erythema 5% 10% 8% 11% results after conservation therapy for breast cancer. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys. 1995 Feb 15;31(4):753-64. Wound Dehiscence 0 1 0 0 0 1 Fibrosis 50% 60% 23% 67% Phys. 1995 Feb 15;31(4):753-64. King, Bolton, Kuske, et al: Long-Term Results of Wide-Field Brachytherapy as the Sole Method of Radiation Therapy after Segmental Mastectomy for Tis,1,2 Breast Cancer. American J Surg 2000 180(4):299-304 •Follow Up Hyperpigmentation 23% 10% 3% 22% institution or cooperative group settings. Excellent inter-institutional reproducibility and ipsilateral breast tumor control rates have previously been Cosmesis Evaluation at 6 months, 1 year, then annually by 6 16 7 29 27 5 Worst Overall Toxicity Pockmarks 68% 40% 63% 56% Patient (Pt), Radiation Oncologist (RO) and Surgeon (S) 18% 48% 21% 44% 41% 8% reported by RTOG for this trial; updated toxicity and cosmesis data i Telangectasias 27% 20% 23% 11%

1