Heterogeneity dose calculation algorithm accuracy in IMRT THE UNIVERSITY OF TEXAS using anthropomorphic thorax phantom CANCER CENTER S. Davidson, D. Followill, K. Prado, G. Ibbott

The University of Texas M. D. Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX U.S.

Making Cancer History™

DANDERS

Introduction

The accuracies of heterogeneity dose calculation algorithms from two commercially available IMRT treatment planning systems (TPS) were assessed using an anthropomorphic thorax phantom. The TPS used for this study were:

1) Pinnacle, ADAC 2) Corvus, Nomos

Pinnacle's dose calculation algorithm is based upon superpositionconvolution while Corvus employs the pencil-beam algorithm. The AAPM Task Group No. 65 report on tissue inhomogeneity corrections for megavoltage photon beams suggests that superposition-convolution or Monte Carlo based dose calculations may better determine the dose in the presence of heterogeneities.

Clinically relevant IMRT treatment plans were created and delivered to an anthropomorphic thorax phantom that simulated realistic anatomical and geometrical conditions similar to a patient (See Fig. 1). The phantom was part of the Radiological Physics Center's (RPC) family of phantoms that were used in credentialing clinical trials sponsored by the Radiation Therapy Oncology Group (RTOG).

In order to isolate the MLC optimization algorithms from each TPS dose calculation, direct dose comparisons were made. This was accomplished by importing the Corvus MLC files into Pinnacle, then allowing Pinnacle to recalculate the dose distribution.

Film and TLD measurements were made for comparisons to each of the TPS dose calculations.

Fig. 1. Anthropomorphic phantom and axial CT image.



Materials & Methods

Anthropomorphic phantom

- · Constructed from polyvinyl chloride (PVC) to form outer shell and designed to provide a water tight seal
- Internally, materials and shapes represent the lung (ρ = 0.21g/cm³), heart, spinal cord and tumor.
- · Tumor was located within the lung, anteriorly toward the mediastinum.
- · Remaining space filled with water to simulate the surrounding fissue

Dosimeters

- TLD: 15mm long x 4mm diameter capsules located in the tumor center, heart and spine
- · Film: MD-55 2 radiochromic film positioned in three anatomical planes (axial, coronal, sagittal) through the center of the tumor and located via registration pin marks
- · Ion chamber: CC04 ion-chamber used to determine absolute dose delivery of hybrid treatment plans to an IMRT QA water phantom.

Treatment Planning Systems (TPS)

Pinnacle 7.4f /7.6c - superposition-convolution calculation

· Corvus 5.0 - pencil-beam dose calculation algorithm

Treatment plans

Treatment plans were created on both planning systems. Equivalent plans were based on the dose to the PTV. The PTV was defined as the GTV plus one centimeter margin. Plan equivalency was defined from the dose volume histogram where 66 Gy covered 96% of the PTV.

Additionally, because of the optimization algorithms, evaluation of only the dose calculation was difficult. To address this, the Corvus MLC files were imported into Pinnacle where they were recalculated.

Delivery

The two treatment plans were delivered to the anthropomorphic phantom using a Varian Linac 2100 linear accelerator. The monitor units were rescaled to deliver 20Gy in one fraction. The parameters included:

6MV photons

- 5 beams
- · Gantry angles of 35°, 90°, 150°, 190°, and a 90° couch kick with a gantry angle of 30°

· Monitor units

· Pinnacle: 4090 MU

- · Corvus: 5288 MU · Control points
- · Pinnacle: 63 pts
- · Corvus: 204 pts

Measurements

Each plan was delivered three times to account for film and TLD reproducibility

TLD: Dose measured in the center of the tumor, heart and spine. Corrected for the measured machine output

Radiochromic film: 2D dose distributions measured in the axial coronal and sagittal planes located in the tumor center. Optical density (OD) converted to dose and then normalized to the tumor TLD dose

Ion chamber: IMRT QA hybrid plans created for delivery to a QA water phantom. Single point dose was read in a low gradient region of the PTV. TPS dose distributions within the anthropomorphic thorax phantom were then corrected using this ion chamber measurement

Evaluation Criteria

±5% of normalization point (tumor TLD) or 3mm distance-to-agreement (It is the expectation of TG-53 for TPS to meet criteria that lie within ±5% or 7mm)

Results

_D Results						
TLD Corrected ¹ Results						
Calculation Algorithm	Treatment Planning System	Delivery method: MLC files	Tumor			
			measured		coloulated ²	ratio:
			average (cGy)	percent standard deviation	(cGy)	measured/ calculated
Superpositon Convolution	Pinnacle 7.4f	Pinnacle 7.4f	1981.7	0.4%	1966.0	1.008
Pencil Beam	Corvus 5.0	Corvus 5.0	1810.4	2.3%	1900.7	0.952
Superpositon Convolution	Pinnacle 7.6c	Corvus 5.0			1828.0	0.990

rrected for IC point dose water phantom measurement





Conclusions

- · The superposition convolution algorithm used in the Pinnacle IMRT TPS calculated correct doses to the tumor and surrounding lung tissue heterogeneities using either the Pinnacle or Corvus MLC files.
- · The pencil-beam algorithm employed by Corvus was not able to accurately predict tumor and lung doses. It overestimated the dose in the PTV by nearly 5% and did not account for the extent of lateral spread from secondary particles. This conclusion is consistent with the observations issued in TG-65.1
- · The superposition convolution algorithm, whether used for forward planned 3D conformal radiotherapy (3D CRT) (Fisher)³ or inverse planned IMRT, calculates the dose correctly within a lung heterogeneity.
- · The introduction of small beamlets and the dynamic nature of the IMRT treatment did not increase any dose calculation errors over those found with 3D CRT (Fisher)





References

- 1N. Papanikolaou, et.al., "Tissue Inhomogeneity Corrections for Megavoltage Photon Beams," American Association of Physicists in Medicine Radiation Therapy Committee Task Group 65, AAPM Report No. 85 (2004): 104-105
- ²B. Fraass, et al., "Quality Assurance for Clinical Radiotherapy Treatment Planning", American Association of Physicists in Medicine Radiation Therapy Committee Task Group 53, AAPM Report No. 62 (1998): 1804.
- 3G. Fisher, "The Accuracy of 3-D Inhomogeneity Photon Algorithms in Commercial Treatment Planning Systems using a Homogeneous Lung Phantom", (master's thesis, University of Texas - Houston), 2005.